

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 506212001100 5259 10/623,740 07/22/2003 Michihiko Yanagisawa **EXAMINER** 25227 7590 05/12/2005 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP AHMED, SHAMIM 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 300 MCLEAN, VA 22102 1765

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/623,	740	YANAGISAWA ET	AL.
		Examin	er	Art Unit	
		Shamim		1765	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	dress
THE - Exte afte - If th - If NO - Fail Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL PRISONS of time may be available under the provisions of r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical production of the provision of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no olication. days, a reply within the st tory period will apply and II, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a re tatutory minimum of thirt will expire SIX (6) MON pplication to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this co	<i>r.</i> mmunication.
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 27 April 2005.			
	his action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			• •	FR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b		_	· · · · ·	` '
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have be ocuments have be the priority docun al Bureau (PCT Re	een received. een received in A nents have been ule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	Stage
Attachmer	• •				
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	7-948)		summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔯 Infor	pe of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/19/05</u> .			nformal Patent Application (PTO)-152)
			· - -		

HU

Application/Control Number: 10/623,740

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claim 9 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 4/27/05.

Drawings

2. Figures 1-2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/623,740 Page 3

Art Unit: 1765

5. Regarding claim 1, line 4, the phrase "scanning the surface at a controlled relative speed," renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the speed is controlled in relation to the substrate or the nozzle or the both.

- 6. Regarding claim 1,line 5, the phrase "a silicon oxide film formed on the surface is previously removed" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the formation of the silicon oxide is before or after the application of the activated species.
- 7. It is noted that if the silicon oxide film is previously removed than the step of removing the silicon oxide film in claim 2 is contradicting.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee (5,953,578).

Lee teaches a process for planarizing a wafer using localized plasma etching to remove unevenness and scanning the wafer surface according the flatness profile in order to control the etching at different spots on the wafer (col.1, lines 39-45 and figure 1).

Lee also teaches that an oxide film is removed from the surface by etching (col.2, lines 17-23).

Application/Control Number: 10/623,740 Page 4

Art Unit: 1765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 12. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yanagisawa et al (JP-2001-144072) in view of Applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA).

Yanagisawa et al disclose a local etching process by supplying a flow of fluorine containing gas via a nozzle on a wafer surface, wherein the local etching removes unevenness from the wafer surface as shown in figure 7.

Yanagisawa et al also teach that an oxide film is formed (see the abstract).

Yanagisawa et al remain silent about scanning the surface at a controlled relative speed.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,740 Page 5

Art Unit: 1765

However, AAPA discloses that scanning or measuring the surface during a dry etching by detecting the relative speed of nozzle passes on the wafer surface (paragraph 0003 in the specification).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of claimed invention to employ AAPA's teaching into Yanagisawa et al for efficiently controlling an etching process in order to have a desired evenness or flatness of the wafer as taught by AAPA.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Haraichi et al (5,358,806) teaches a localized etching or removing silicon oxide by applying activated gas through a nozzle and the depth of the etching is controlled and thereby flattened the etched portion (abstract and figure 13a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shamim Ahmed whose telephone number is (571) 272-1457. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu (7:00-5:30) Every Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine G. Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,740

Art Unit: 1765

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shamim Ahmed Primary Examiner Art Unit 1765

SA May 10, 2005